1			
	Joseph A. Grube, WSBA# 26476		
2	joe@bgotrial.com		
3	Karen Orehoski, WSBA #35855 karen@bgotrial.com		
4	Breneman Grube Orehoski, PLLC		
5	1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 625 Seattle, WA 98101		
6	Tel: 206.770.7606/ Fax: 206.770.7607 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON RICHLAND DIVISION		
13			
14	KICHE/KVD I	SIVISION	
15	SALVADOR PAZ-CORTES,	NO. 4-20 05072 TOD	
16	Plaintiff,	NO. 4:20-cv-05072-TOR	
17		PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO	
18	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
19	EDISON A. VALERIO,		
20	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23	1. Plaintiff, through counsel, submits this statement in response to this Court's		
24	August 18, 2020 Order to Show Cause (Docket No. 4) regarding service of process. Plaintiff's		
25	counsel declares and attests as follows:		
26			
_0	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE PAGE 1 OF 3	BRENEMAN GRUBE OREHOSKI PLLC 1200 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 625 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 (206) 770-7606 • FAX (206) 770-7607	

1 2	2. This case was filed on May 4, 2020.	
3	3. On May 13, 2020, pursuant to FRCP 4(d), Plaintiff's counsel sent the follo	wing
4	documents to Defendant Edison Valerio via regular mail:	
5		
6	a. Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service;b. Waiver of the Service of Summons (2 copies);	
7	c. Summons;	
8	d. Complaint; and a e. Self-addressed stamped envelope;	
9	4. Pursuant to its express language, the Notice of Lawsuit and Request for W	aiver
10	of Service gives the Defendant thirty days to respond.	
11 12	5. On June 8, 2020, counsel for Defendant (Brian Davis) contacted Plain	tiff's
13	counsel and was requested to accept service of process on behalf of Defendant.	
14	6. On June 17, 2020 Mr. Davis informed Plaintiff's counsel that he would a	ccept
15	service on behalf of Defendant. On that same day Plaintiff's counsel provided Mr. Davis	with
16	a proposed Waiver of Service pursuant to FRCP 4(d).	
17	7. Over the next two months, counsel for Plaintiff's counsel and Defend	lant's
18 19	counsel exchanged numerous communications regarding the filing of the acceptance of ser	rvice,
20	including on: June 29, July 6, July 9, July 20, August 8, and August 18. During	those
21	communications, Defendant's counsel never repudiated his agreement to accept service	e and
22	expressly stated that the filed acceptance would be forthcoming.	
23	8. On August 18, 2020 this Court entered an Order to Show Cause (Docket N	Jo. 4)
24	pursuant to FRCP 4(m).	
25		
26		
	DI AINTIEE'S DESPONSE TO	

1	9. On August 19, 2020, Defendant filed his Notice of Appearance (Docket No. 5)	
2	Acceptance of Service, (Docket No. 6), and Answer and Counterclaim (Docket No. 7).	
3	10. Although service was accepted by counsel (via email) within the time required	
4	under FRCP 4, proof of service was not filed because a more formal acceptance was anticipated	
5	and Plaintiff's counsel repeatedly followed up.	
6	11. As a Notice of Appearance, Acceptance of Service, and Answer and	
7	Counterclaim have now been filed, the case is at issue. Good cause exists pursuant to FRCP 4	
8	to extend the time for filing the proof of service (or for service) pursuant to FRCP 4.	
9	12. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court deem this case at issue and find tha	
11	good cause has been demonstrated.	
2	Respectfully submitted this 21 st day of August 2020.	
3	BRENEMAN GRUBE OREHOSKI, PLLC	
4		
15	By: /s/ Joseph A. Grube Joseph A. Grube, WSBA #26476	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
	DI AINTIEF'S DESDONSE TO	